

**REMARKS**

Claims 10 and 11, each independent, are pending. Claims 1-6 and 9 have been cancelled without prejudice. Applicants thank the Examiner for the indication that claims 10 and 11 are allowed.

Claim 1-6 and 9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wehrly et al. (4,945,954), in view of Falstrom (1,801,490). The cancellation of those claims renders their rejections moot, leaving only allowed claims 10 and 11 in this case.

This Amendment in Response to Final Office Action is believed clearly to place this application in condition for allowance and its entry is therefore believed proper under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116. Should the Examiner believe that issues remain outstanding, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney in an effort to resolve such issues and advance the case to issue.

In view of the above amendment, applicants believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Dated: September 1, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By Joseph W. Ragusa  
Joseph W. Ragusa

(Registration No.: 38,586  
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP  
1177 Avenue of the Americas  
41st Floor  
New York, New York 10036-2714  
(212) 277-6500  
Attorneys for Applicants